Sh. Jagjit Singh M 9914248131 Aman Nagar,Street No. 3,Backsise Green Land School, Near Jalandhar Bypass, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner,Ludhiana

Respondents

Appellant

Appeal Case No. 5309 of 2021Present:None on behalf of the appellant.
Smt. Sandeep Kaur, Reader on behalf of the respondent.(On VC at Ludhiana)

VS

Order

This order may be read with the previous order dated 19.4.2022 vide which the parties were directed to remain present at Chandigarh on the next date of hearing to take the matter to its logical end. The case was adjourned and fixed for hearing today. The appellant has sought the following information from the respondent Public Information Officer.

1 Provide me certified copies of the notification related to the charitable trest

2 Provide me the certified copies of the (SANT KIRPAL VIDHAK MISSION (RECD) bhora village, Aman nagar, G.T road, near Jalandhar by-pass, Ludhana. (Copy attached certificate of registration of societies 656 of 1993-94) gives rebate on stamp duty and also provide those rules which gives rebate.

3 Previde me certified copies of approval of charitable stamp duty rebate and the noting file in <u>vasika no. 2218 dated: 29-11-2004</u> transfer sale deed 06-04-2010 Area- 1413-1/2 and vasika 2481 dated: 31-10-2005 transfer sale deed 19-04-2010 Area- 2600.

4 Provide me certified information regarding the approval of apology given to how many organizations/ societies/charitable organizations/ societies for the sharp duty given by your department from the period 2006 to 2021 in Ludniana and all the documents attached along with that approvals.

I have attached the Sant Kirpal Vidyak mission Regd, letter with this letter for reference. There are 34° points in this RTI & I want answer of this RTI with respect to each near 6 according to each point respectively. It is a ther requested that while providing the aforesaid sought information, compliance with the format issued by the department of Personnel and Training vide their DOPT No.10/1/2013-IR dated 06.10.2015

2. The appellant is absent today. However he has sent an email to the Commission which is taken on record. He has requested the Commission to fix today's hearing on Cisco Webex only as he cannot come to Chandigarh due to his health issues. He further requests the Commission to provide him the information. Contd. P/2







2

Appeal Case No. 5309 of 2021

3. The representative of the respondents has sent an email to the Commission with letter no. 148 dated 13.6.2022 enclosed which is taken on record. She submits that the information has been provided to the appellant.

4. The Commission has not accepted the request of the appellant to fix hearing on the Cisco Webex as it is no more available due to connectivity issues. Appellant could have sent his authorised representative to attend the hearing at Chandigarh.

5. After hearing the representative of the Public Information Officer and going through the case file, the Commission accepts the reply of the Public Information Officer which is being **sent** to the appellant. No more action is required to be taken in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s



Sh. Ranjit Singh Prem Nagar, Macchiwara, Tehsil Samrala, Ludhiana

Vs

Public Information Officer, o/o Addl. D.C. Ludhiana.


First Appellate Authority o/o D.C. Ludhiana Appeal case no. 1700 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings) Present: None on behalf of the appellant. Sh. Pardeep Kumar on behalf of the respondents.

Respondents

Appellant

Order

The RTI Application is dated 14.9.2021 vide which the appellant has sought the following information in his RTI Application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 19.10.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 6.4.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 16.6.2022.



2. The appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission.

3. The representative of the respondents states that the appellant has sought similar information in CC No147/2022. He requests the Commission to disposed of this case.

4 On perusal of the case files, it is noticed that the case file CC 147/2022 was sent to Deputy Registrar to reallocate due to administrative reasons and convert this case in appeal case. Accordingly that case was converted to appeal case no. 2592/2022 and has been reallocated to the bench of Hon'ble Manindar Singh Patti, State Information Commissioner which is still under hearing. 4. In view of the above, no cause of action remains left in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

Col Anil Chandra (Retd), House No 409, Block No 6, Doera, SP Marg Near Taj Palace, Hotel Chanakya Puri, New Delhi Vs Sh. Anwar, Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Governance Reforms, PB, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Governance Reforms, PB, Chandigarh. Appeal Case No. 745/2022 ..Appellant

....Respondent(s)

Appeal Case No. 745 of 2022.

Present: Con. Anil Chandra appellant on phone Sh. Anvar, Public Information Officer, on behalf of the respondents at Chandigarh.

Order

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 2.5.2022 vide which Sh. Anvar was issued a show cause notice for not supplying the information and being absent in the hearing . The case was adjourned and was fixed for hearing today i.e. 16.6.2022. The appellant has sought information pertaining to last three Information Commissioners , Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, Sh. Manider Singh Patti and Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon in his RTI application.

2. The respondent Public Information Officer states that the appellant has sought similar information in appeal case No. 405 of 2022 and the information has been already provided to him. He requests the Commission to disposed of the case. He tenders unconditional apology for remaining absent in last hearing. He further requests the Commission to withdraw the show cause notice issued to him.

4. After hearing the respondent Public Information Officer and going through the case files the information has already been provided to the appellant and he has accordingly been informed on telephone. The show cause notice issued to the respondent Public Information Officer is hereby withdrawn in view of the circumstances explained by him. No more action remains left in this case. Hence the case is disposed of and closed.

sd Suresh Arora Chief information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated 16.6.2022 @s



PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION



Sh. Suresh Kumar Sharma (M: 9855890233) R/o New Sharma Furniture House, Pratap Bazzar, Chhehartta, Amritsar.

....Appellant

Vs Public Information Officer, O/o Amritsar Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Amritsar Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5601 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5602 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5603 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5604 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5605 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5679 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5680 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5681 of 2021, Appeal Case No.5682 of 2021, Appeal Case No. 5683 of 2021

(Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Junior Engineer M 9888812200, Sh. mandip Singh J.E.(M 8289013908) ,Sh. Anurag Verma J.E. (M 7973416751) on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 2.6.2022 vide which the appellant stated that respondent Public Information Officer has supplied the information. He had sought short adjournment to examine the information supplied to him. The case was adjourned to 16.6.2022.

2. Today appellant was absent without any intimation to the Commission. He has not sent any deficiency in the information either to the Commission or to the respondent Public Information Officer pertaining to the above titled cases.

3. The representative of the respondents states that complete information has been provided to the appellant and has requested the Commission to dispose of the above titled cases.

4. In view of the above, there is no cause of action remains left in this case. Hence these cases are disposed of and closed. However, since the appellant is not present, the liberty is granted to him to approach the Commission within two weeks in case of submission, if any.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s



Sh. Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh. Dhani Ram, #17093, Near Kanya Mandir, Aggarwal Colony, Bathinda – 151001. Vs. Public Information Officer O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Chughe Khurd, Bathinda - 151001

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda.

.....Appellant

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 590 of 2022.

(Video Conference Proceedings)Present:-Shri Jai Kishen on behalf of the appellant.
Shri Krishan Kumar 9417753918 on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 20.4.2022 vide which the respondent Public Information Officer was directed to provide the gross salary details of all the employees from 1 April 2017 to 31 March 2018. Appellant was directed to establish the public interest involved in seeking the third-party information before the next date of hearing to take the matter to its logical end. The case was adjourned and was fixed for hearing today.

2. The representative of the appellant states that list of employees is not provided by the Public Information Officer and he further submits that information pertaining to point no. 4 and 5 is not a third party. However he has not sent the public interest involved in seeking the third-party information in compliance to order dated 20.4.2022.

3. The Public Information Officer states that the information has been supplied to the appellant and he has made the compliance of order dated 20.4.2022. He has submitted a copy of information to the Commission which is taken on record.

4. The representative of the appellant was asked why he/appellant has not sent the public interest involved in seeking the third-party information in compliance to order dated 20.4.2022, but he did not make any submissions.

5. In view of the above there is no more cause of action remains left in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s



Sh. Baldev Krishan Sharma M 9417404786 #107, M.C.B.Z.-2/13369 , Gsli No. 3/2 Green Avenue Bibi wala Road, Bathinda

vs Public Information Officer, o/o D.P.I. (College) Punjab, Grant 2 Section,Sector 62, Mohali

First Appellate Authority, D.P.I. (College) Punjab, Grant 2 Section,Sector 62, Mohali Respondents

Appeal Case No.1689 of 2022

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Smt. Pawanjit Kaur, Sr. Assistant M 9463741800 on behalf of the respondents.

Order

The RTI application is dated 12.1.2022 vide which the appellant has sought the following information in his RTI Application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 22.2.2022 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 6.4.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 16.6.2022.

ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ 'ਤੇ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ:-

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਵਿੱਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ: 4/7/09-2FPI/792 ਮਿਤੀ 17-11-2011 (ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ) ਜੋ ਕਿ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਅਤੇ ਪਟਿਆਲਾ ਸ਼ਹਿਰਾਂ ਵਿਖੇ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਲਈ ਮਕਾਨ ਕਿਰਾਇਆ ਭੱਤਾ ਮਿਤੀ 01-12-2011 ਤੋਂ 20 ਪ੍ਰਤੀਸ਼ਤ ਕਰਨ ਬਾਰੇ ਹੈ, ਨੂੰ ਇਹਨਾਂ ਸ਼ਹਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਏਡਿਡ ਕਾਲਜਾਂ ਵਿਖੇ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ ਟੀਚਿੰਗ / ਨਾਨ-ਟੀਚਿੰਗ ਸਟਾਫ਼ ਉੱਪਰ ਲਾਗੂ ਕਰਨ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਡੀ.ਪੀ.ਆਈ. (ਕਾਲਜਾਂ) ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

2. The appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission.

3. The representative of the respondents states that the sought information is pending before the Finance Department, Punjab,(Expenditure Branch-2). The information will be provided to the appellant as and when any decision is taken by the Finance Department,

Appellant



2

Appeal Case No.1689 of 2022

Punjab. She has submitted written reply which is taken on record and being **sent t**o the appellant.

4. In view of the above, the respondent Public Information Officer is hereby directed to provide the information as assured by the representative of the Public Information Officer. No cause of action remains left in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100
Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com
Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Vipan Grover(Journalist) M 9877086735 Fatehgarh,Panjtoor Moga

Compalinant

Public Information Officer, o/o D.P.I (Secondary), Punjab, Mohali

Respondents

Complaint Case No.184 of 2022

vs

Present: None on behalf of the Complainant.

Sh. Baljinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the respondents.

Order

The RTI application is dated 11.1.2022 vide which the appellant has sought the following information in his RTI Application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 6.4.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 16.6.2022. The complainant has sought the following information.

ਸਿੱਖਾ ਯੋਕਕ ਆਰਟਸ਼ਾਲਾ ਤਹਿਤ ਸਾਨੂ ਹਨ ਲਖ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਬਾਰੇ ਲਿਖਤ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਸਾਡੇ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਪੜੇ ਤੇ ਨਿਸ਼ਚਿਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਅੰਦਰ ਯਕੀਨੀ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ । ਮੇਰਚਬਾਨੀ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ । - ਸਰਕਾਰ/ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੈਗੂਲਰ ਅਧਿਆਪਿਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਦੋਰਾਨ (ਰਿਟਾਇਰਮੈਂਟ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ) ਮੌਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਣ ਦੀ ਸੂਰਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸਦੇ ਵਾਰਸ/ਬੱਚੇ ਨੂੰ ਉਸਦੀ ਸ਼ਾਂ ਤੇ ਤਰਸ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਨੇਂਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਸਾਲ 2008-2009 ਤੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ 10 ਦਸੰਬਰ 2021 ਤੱਕ ਕਿਹੜੇ-2 ਨਿਯਮਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਫਾਲੋਂ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ । ਸਾਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਸਾਰੇ ਨੋਟੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਦੀ ਫੋਟੇ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ।

2. The appellant is absent today without intimation to the Commission although he was served notice of hearing for today through registered post. Despite the intimation in advance he is absent in the hearing.

3. The representative of the respondents states that information has been supplied to the complainant vide letter no. 2022140480-81 dated 13.6.2022 through registered post . He has submitted a copy of the same in the Commission which is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the Commission has accepted the reply of the Public Information Officer. A copy of the same is being **sent** to the appellant. No cause of action remains left in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

However, since the appellant is not present, the liberty is granted to him to approach the Commission within two weeks in case of submission, if any.

sd Suresh Arora Chief information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

Col Anil Chandra (Retd), House No 409, Block No 6, Doera, SP Marg Near Taj Palace, Hotel Chanakya Puri, New Delhi

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Governance Reforms, PB, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Governance Reforms, PB, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer o/o the Principal Secretary to Govt.of Punjab, Department of Food, C. Supplies & Consumer Affairs, (Establishment 1 Branch) Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer o/o State Consumer Despute redressal Commission,Pb. Sector 37, Chandigarh.

....Respondent(s)

 Present: Col. Anil Chandra(Retd.),appellant(on telephone)
1. Sh. Gurjit Singh, Superintendent, Sh. Bahadar Singh, Sr. Assistant M 9417776465,9914622278 on behalf of the respondent o/o Principal Secretary, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,Punjab 2. Sh. Ravinder Kumar Suoerintendent and Sh. Surinder Kumar Steno on behalf of the State Consumer Despute redressal Commission,Pb. M 9463181331, 9463888058 at Chandigarh.

Order

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 2.5.2022 vide which the Principal Secretary to Govt.of Punjab, Department of Food, C. Supplies & Consumer Affairs, (Establishment 1 Branch) Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Chandigarh was impleaded as a nessary party in this case to file response/supply the information to the appellant. The case was adjourned and was fixed for hearing today. The appellant has sought the following information :

contd P/2

BAR BERT BERT Wissue

..Appellant

Appeal Case No. 744/2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com Whatsapp No. 62848-20189

Appeal Case No. 744/2022

RTI Request:

Respected Sir Madam. 1. Under the right to information act, I seek information, as defined in Section 2 of the act viz the advertisements, applications received, minutes sheets, notings, opinion, advices, correspondence emails etc processed by the office Staff resulting in Short listing, recommendations of the shortlisting committee, and approval of the selection committee, with regard to the appointment as well as Reappointment, if so reappointed, of the following members of the Punjab State Food Commission ie. Captain P S Shergill IAS retired, and Shri Gursandeep Singh Grewal, both appointed as Members on 26th October 2016 for 5 years that is up to 25th October 2021. 2.

2

Similarly, I also seek same information with regard to the appointment as well as reappointment, if so Reappointed, of the following members of the Punjab State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission ie. Mrs Kiran Sibal, appointed on 17th August 2017, and Mr Rajendra Kumar Goel, appointed on 26 July 2017, for 5 years ie upto 16 Aug 2021 and 25 Jul 2021 respectively. 3. Without prejudice to the generality of the full information sought under Paras 1 and 2 above, I specifically seek all the documents especially with regard to the REappointment of all these members. 4. I also see to inspect the records. ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਇਨਫਾਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ 1. ਸਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਦੇ ਅੰਤਰਗਤ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕੇ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਟੇਟ food commission ਦੇ 2 ਮੈਂਬਰ, ਕੈਪਟਨ ਪੀ ਏਸ ਸ਼ੇਰਗਿੱਲ ਆਈ ਏ ਐਸ ਰਿਟਾਇਰਡ ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਸਂਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਗਰੇਵਾਲ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕਿ 26 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ 2016 ਨੂੰ ਪੰਜ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਲਈ ਨਿਯੁਕਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਮਿਆਦ 25 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ 2021 ਨੂੰ ਖਤਮ ਹੋ ਜਾਣੀ ਸੀ। 2. ਇਸੇ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਟੇਟ ਕੰਜ਼ਿਊਮਰ ਡਿਸਪ੍ਰਿਊਟ redressal commission ਦੇ ਦੋ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਸਾਹਿਬਾਨ, ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਕਿਰਨ ਸਬਲ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕਿ 17 ਅੰਗਸਤ 2017 ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਜਿੰਦਰ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗੋਇਲ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕਿ 26 ਜੁਲਾਈ 2017 ਨੂੰ ਪੰਜ ਪੰਜ ਸਾਲ ਲਈ ਨਿਯੁਕਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਸੀ, ਅਤੇ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਮਿਆਦ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਖਤਮ ਹੋ ਜਾਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਸੀ, ਇਹਨਾਂ 4 ਮੈਂਬਰ ਸਾਹਿਬਾਨ ਬਾਰੇ ਪੂਰੀ ਦੀ ਪੂਰੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ , ਐਡਵਟਾਈਜ਼ਮੈਂਟ, ਅਖਬਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ਼ਤਿਹਾਰ, ਉਮੀਦਵਾਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਐਪਲੀਕੇਸ਼ਨ, shortlisting ਕਮੇਟੀ ਦੀ ਪੂਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ, ਸਲੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਦੀ ਪੂਰੀ ਦੀ ਪੂਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਹੋ ਸਕੇ ਤਾਂ ਸੌਫਟ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਜੀ। 3. ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਮੈਂ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵੀ inspect ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਜਯ ਹਿੰਦ ਕਰਨਲ ਅਨੂ ਚੰਦਰਾ ਸਾਬਕਾ ਫੌਜੀ 7030203456

2. The respondent Public Information Officer o/o Principal Secretary, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs states that the appellant has sought two point information. Point no.1 relates to his department and point no. 2 relates to State Consumer Despute redressal Commission, Pb. and RTI application has been transferred to PIO of State Consumer Despute redressal Commission, Pb vide letter no. 409 dated 7.6.2022 under the intimation to the Commission which is available in the case file. The PIO has shown the original record to the commission for perusual and thereafter, submitted a copy of theinformation containing 17 pages, which is taken on record and is being sent to the appellant.

3. The representative of the respondent PIO o/o State Consumer Despute redressal Commission, Pb. states that the Public Information Officer is on ex-India leave up to 30.6.2022 and he assured to supply the information to the appellant on her return from leave.

Accordingly the appellant was contacted on telephone and he has been apprised of the 4. matter.

5. In view of the above, and assurance given by the representative of the respondent Public Information Officer o/o State Consumer Despute redressal Commission, Pb. to provide the information, a direction is given to provide the information within two weeks from the date of joining of PIO from leave.

5. That there is no more cause of action is left in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within two weeks in case he does not receive the information or is not satisfied with the information by first week of August, 2022.

> Suresh Arora Chief information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

sd

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: <u>pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Anil Chandra, S/o Sh. A.B. Chandra (M: 7030203456), Ward No. E-43, Anand Niketan, New Delhi-110021. RTI Application No. 8833.

....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o DGR, PB., Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o DGR, PB., Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer o/o the Principal Secy. to Govt. of Punjab Department of Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Government of Punjab Anaaj Bhawan building, Sector 39-C, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1469 of 2022Present:Col. Anil Chandra(Retd.),appellant(on telephone)Sh. Gurjit Singh, Superintendent, Sh. Bahadar Singh, Sr. Assistant M9417776465,9914622278 on behalf of the respondents at Chandigarh.

Order

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 2.5.2022 vide which the the Principal Secretary to Govt.of Punjab, Department of Food, C. Supplies & Consumer Affairs, (Establishment 1 Branch) Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Chandigarh was impleaded as a nessary party in this case to file response/supply the information to the appellant. The case was adjourned and was fixed for hearing today. The appellant has sought the following information :

Vs

CITIZEN RTI REQUEST:	Subject Strategy and Strategy
Please provide me all the information, as c rnemos, emails, opinions, advices, press m electronic form and information, with rega Commissioners from the date of advertise comprehensive info requested for wrt the each case of selection a No of Application shortlisting committee required and Nam	liciting Info under RTI with regard to <u>selection of the Four Food</u> Commissioners Punjab. Sir Madam. afined under Section 2 of the RTI Act that is any material in any form, including records, documents, leases, circulars, orders, log books, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in the section of the relation to, or connected with or having reference to selection of the four Foor ment in the month of November till up to the selections made till date. 2. Without prejudice to the ment in the month of November till up to the selections made till date. 2. Without prejudice to the NTIRE SELECTION procedure wrt the Four food Commissioners, the following be specifically provide is read b the Composition of the Shortlisting Committee wherein only appointments held by the second b the Composition of the calls for interviews sent out, methodology adopted by the screening is not required. C Details of the calls for interviews sent out, methodology adopted by the screening the candidate, actions taken and the recommendations made by the Shortlisting Committee a the Field bove info may also be provided in the form of soft copy to email. 4. I SEEK TO INSPECT THE RECOR IT Act, the PIO is duty bound to transfer the Application to the correct PIO if it does not pertain to his during these COVID days it is risky to visit Post Office. Jai Hind

Contd. P/2



2.

Appeal Case No. 1469 of 2022

2. The respondent Public Information Officer has shown the original record to the Commission pertaining to the sought information. Thereafter he has submitted complete copy of information sought by the appellant containing 33 pages which are taken on record and the same is being **sent** to the appellant with the order.

3. Accordingly the appellant was contacted on telephone and he has been apprised of the matter.

4. In view of the above, as the complete information has been supplied to the appellant, no action is required to be taken in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

sd Suresh Arora Chief information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

Sh.Naresh Kumar, Village Maluka, Tehsil RampuraPhul, Distt. Bathinda PSiC Signation

Complainant

Public Information Officer, o/o Superintendent IAS Branch. Department of Personnel Govt. Of Punjab, Chandigarh.

Smt.Sawarnjit Kuar,Under Secretary cum First Appellate Authority, Department of Personnel Govt. Of Punjab, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Complaint Case No. 188 of 2022

Present: None on behalf of the complainant. Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of respondent.

Order

The RTI Application is dated 14.3.2022 vide which the Complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI Application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 6.4.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 16.6.2022.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation to the Commission despite the registered notice of hearing was served to him in advance. On perusal of the case file, it is noticed that the Complainant is not satisfied with information supplied to him as sought by him in his RTI application and he wants complete information from the respondent PIO.

3. The representative of the respondent states that information has been provided vide letter no. 357459 dated 10.5.2022. He further submits that if he was not satisfied with information supplied, he should have approached to the Appellate Authority instead he has filed complaint case in the Commission.

4. It is appropriate to mention that Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given

Vs

2



CC 188/2022

by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant

case. Since the complainant is interested in getting the information, therefore, the matter is remanded back to Smt.Sawarnjit Kuar, Under Secretary cum First Appellate Authority Department of Personnel Govt. Of Punjab,

to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order. A copy of this complaint filed by the complainant is also **being sent** to the First Appellate Authority along with this order with the directions to treat the same as first appeal.

5. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the 2nd appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: <u>pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Kawaljit Singh, s/o Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bule Nangal,PO Chemabhath, Tehsil Baba Bakala. Distt. Amritsar.

Complainant

Public Information Officer, o/o Incharge Govt. Middle School, Bule Nangal, Distt. Amritsar.

Sh.Jugraj Singh Randhawa, D.E.O.(Secondary) cum First Appellate Authority, wo/o District Education Officer(Secondary) Distt. Amritsar. Complaint Case No. 178 of 2022 Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of respondent.

Order

The RTI Application is dated 27.1.2022 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI Application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 7.4.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 16.6.2022.

2. Both the parties are absent without intimation to the Commission despite the registered notice of hearing was served to the them.

3. On perusal of the case file, it is noticed that the appellant wants to get the complete information regarding the record of mid day meal from Incharge Mid day Meal, Govt. Middle School, BuleNangal. He is not satisfied with the information so provided by the respondent. He has also written in his self declaration which is reproduced below.

" ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲੈਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਹੋਰ ਕਿਸੇ ਉੱਚ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਕੇਸ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਾਇਆ ਹੈ ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਪਾਸੋ ਹੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲੈਣੀ ਹੇ।

4. It is appropriate to mention that Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. Since the complainant is interested in getting the information, therefore, the matter is remanded back to Sh. Sh.Jugraj Singh Randhawa, D.E.O.(Secondary) cum

Vs



2

CC 178/2022

Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order. A copy of this complaint filed by the complainant is also **being sent** to the First Appellate Authority along with this order with the directions to treat the same as first appeal.

5. With these directions the case is disposed of and closed. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file the 2nd appeal in this case, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

sd

Dated 16.6.2022 @s